

5. Bottom up – method 9: Improvement of lighting systems (tertiary sector)



5.0 Recalling Elements of Calculation:

Four steps for calculation

- **step 1:** **unitary gross annual energy savings**
 (per end-use action)
 (+) no. of participants or units
- **step 2:** **total gross annual energy savings**
 (of a facilitating measure)
 (+) double counting, multiplier effect, free rider effect
- **step 3:** **total ESD annual energy savings**
 (of packages of EEI measures)
 (+) timing and lifeline of end-use action within ESD period
 and performance degradation
- **step 4:** **total ESD energy savings for year “i”** (i=2010 or 2016)

5.0 Recalling Elements of Calculation: Three levels of evaluation efforts

	Data scale	Main data sources	Data processing and documenting
Level 1	European default values	existing/available European regulation, studies and statistics	security factor according to the level of reliability of the default value
Level 2	National representative values	up-to-date national statistics, surveys, samples, registries	requirements = minimum set of information and justifications to be reported
Level 3	Program- or Participant-specific	specific monitoring systems, registries, surveys, measurements	requirements to report on the specific data and justifications in detail (standard report at least available)

→ an evaluation method may combine different levels of efforts, as several parameters are needed in the calculations

5.1 Harmonised bottom-up evaluation methods

Method 9: Improvement of Lighting Systems

- a method dealing with several types of **end-use actions** and their **combination**
- the **unit** is a Lighting System installed at a **Participant**
- In combination of end-use actions to improve lighting systems in the tertiary sector, large energy savings can be made (up to 80 %)

(method developed by eERG – Politecnico di Milano, Italy)

5.1 Improvement of Lighting Systems - end-use actions

- Exchange of **lamps**: Incandescent => Compact Fluorescent Lamps (CFLs); T12 => T8 => T5 Fluorescent Lamps
- Use of **electronic ballasts** instead of electromagnetic ballasts
- Use of energy-efficient **luminaires**
- Improved **lighting controls**

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Step 1.1: Basic calculation formula for the unitary savings**

quite complex formula to calculate the following:

Annual energy savings of one participant = the participant's energy consumption with an inefficient lighting system – the participant's energy consumption with an energy-efficient lighting system

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Step 1.2: Baseline (reference system)**

→ The baseline against which to calculate the energy savings depends on end-use action and situation

End-use action	Advanced reinvestment or upgrade of existing system	Normal reinvestment or new build
Use of energy-efficient lamps, ballasts, luminaires	Energy efficiency of existing system / stock average	Energy efficiency of new, inefficient system / market inefficient average
Improved lighting controls	Energy efficiency of existing system / stock average	Energy efficiency of new, efficient system / market efficient average

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Step 1.3: Normalisation factors**
 - Annual Operating hours are both an input parameter for the calculation of energy savings, and a potential normalization factor for energy use of lighting systems.
 - Proposed Level 1 Normalisation Factors:
 - 1.2 for Northern Europe (DK, FI, SE, EST, LV, LT; NO)
 - 1.1 for Western Europe (UK, IRL)
 - 1.0 for Central Europe (AT, BE, CZ, DE, FR, HU, LU, NL, PL, SK)
 - 0.9 for Southern Europe (BG, CY, ES, GR, IT, MA, PO, RO, SL)

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Step 1.4: Specifying the calculation method and its three related levels: two options depending on complexity of end-use actions**
 - A) [savings] are estimated for **CFLs, electronic ballasts, occupancy and daylight sensors** using a default value (in kWh/year/unit) or a default % of savings in **level 1** (EU default values for parameters) and **level 2** (national average values for parameters from sample surveys), or a **measure-specific level 3** (average values for parameters from surveys of a sample of participants to the facilitating measure being evaluated).

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Step 1.4: Specifying the calculation method and its three related levels**
- B) [savings] are estimated **for more complex combinations of end-use actions**, particularly if **energy-efficient luminaires** with T8 or T5 lamps and electronic ballasts are used, **with a case-specific level 3 method of evaluation, using the complex formula but possibly some level 1 values.**

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Indicative default value** for the unitary savings (1)
- default values for **option A, Level 1**:

End-use action	Savings against stock average baseline	Savings against market inefficient average baseline
Use of CFLs instead of Incandescents	102 kWh/year/CFL	102 kWh/year/CFL
Use of electronic ballasts with T8 systems	23 kWh/year/ballast	17 kWh/year/ballast
Use of occupancy sensors on T8 systems	76 kWh/year/sensor or 20 %	76 kWh/year/sensor or 20 %

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Indicative default value** for the unitary savings (2)
- default values for **option B, Level 1**:

End-use action	energy consumption of baseline system	energy consumption of energy-efficient system
Use of efficient luminaires with T8 systems	90 kWh/year/ballast	76 kWh/year/ballast
Use of efficient luminaires with T5 systems	90 kWh/year/ballast	79 kWh/year/ballast
Annual hours of use	2,500 h/year	2,500 h/year
Number of luminaires	Case-specific! (Level 3)	Case-specific! (Level 3)

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Step 2.1: calculation formula for the total gross annual energy savings**

- unit = one lighting system (Participant).

$$Total_gross_annual_energy_savings = \sum_i^n [energy_savings_of_Participant_i]$$

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

■ Step 2.2: Requirements and methods for accounting for the number of unitary actions

→ Methods proposed for monitoring the number of actions (always LEVEL 3):

<p>Direct accounting methods are particularly appropriate with the use of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Financial Tools (rebates, low interest rates, targeted taxation, e.g., tax rebates or faster depreciation rates) - Energy Audits - Energy Performance Contracting - White Certificates Schemes 	<p>Examples of methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Collection of accounting documents (e.g. invoices, vouchers) - registry/database to collect details about participants and end-use actions proposed/taken
<p>Indirect accounting methods are particularly appropriate with</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Minimum Energy Performance Standards - Lifecycle costing campaigns 	<p>Examples of methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - surveys among the target groups to assess the portion/number of implemented end-use actions - surveys among the whole population targeted to assess compliance

→ Finally, ex-post verification for a sample of participants should be done: monitoring of implementation and of energy consumption to ensure that end-use (EEI) actions are actually in place and operational, as specified initially.

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

■ Step 3.1: formula for the total ESD savings

total net annual energy savings

= *total gross annual energy savings of all participants (from step 2.1)*

* *(1 - free-rider coefficient + multiplier coefficient)*

* *double-counting factor*

→ Simplified formula, if total annual energy savings are below 40 million kWh/year, or if there is evidence that both the multiplier and the free-rider effects will be small:

total net annual energy savings

= *total gross annual energy savings of all participants (from step 2.1)*

* *double-counting factor*

Possible range of coefficients:

Free-rider: share [0, 1]

Multiplier coefficient: ≥ 0

Double Counting: factor [0, 1]

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

■ Step 3.2: double counting

	Energy Audits	Energy Performance Contracting	White Certificates Schemes	Energy Taxation	Subsidy schemes	Risk of Overlap
Energy-efficient Luminaires	X	X	X	X	X	X
Energy-efficient Lamps & ballasts	X	X	X	X	X	X
Improved Control	X				X	X
....						

■ Step 3.3: technical interactions

- Interaction possible with HVAC systems:
reduced cooling/increased heating demand

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

■ Step 3.4: multiplier effect

Indicators and data that can be used:

- sales data analysis (numbers and efficiency levels)
- Surveys among representative samples of (non-)participants
- Surveys with trade allies and/or other relevant stakeholders

■ Step 3.5: free-rider effect

1 st approach	Stock/Market modelling: Level 2 - Baseline based on National Statistics Level 3 – Measure specific Data
2 nd approach	Definition of Net-to-Gross Ratios (NTGR) (implies surveys to participants or discrete choice modelling) Level 2 - National NTGR Level 3 – Measure Specific NTGR
3 rd approach	Progressive Approach

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Step 3.5: free-rider effect - proposed Level 1 default values for free-rider coefficient based on market data**

End-use action	Proposed free-rider coefficient for EEI measures in the years 2008 and 2009
Use of CFLs	0.5
Electronic ballasts	2008: 0.6 2009: 0.65
Efficient luminaires - T8	0.5
Efficient luminaires - T5	0.3
Occupancy sensors	0.05

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Step 4: savings lifetime - default values from CWA-27**

New/renovated office lighting (<i>Commercial/ Public sector</i>)	12 years
Motion detection light controls (<i>Commercial /Public sector</i>)	10 years

- **Early Action:**
- If decided to be eligible, early energy savings could be counted from 2004/2006 using these default values
- The same Level 1 default values presented above for unitary annual energy savings could be used

5.1 Improvement of Lighting System

- **Quality assurance / uncertainties**

Still to be developed!